UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,775	08/08/2006	Yasuhiro Okamoto	Q96219	9772
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 10/02/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVÁNIA AVENUE, N	LI, MEIYA		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/588,775	OKAMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MEIYA LI	2811		

	MEIYA LI	2811			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONI	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a N replies: (1) an amendment eal (with appeal fee) in con	otice of Appeal. To avoid abar , affidavit, or other evidence, w ppliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the content of the period for reply expires to the second of the second of the period for reply expires the second of the seco	dvisory Action, or (2) the date ater than SIX MONTHS from t b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W f).	he mailing date of the final rejection HEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding hortened statutory period for than three months after the m	g amount of the fee. The appropria reply originally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the			
		and hard a state of the section of the			
3.  ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed).	nsideration and/or search (		cause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		erially reducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		nally rejected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	` ''	Non-Compliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		eparate, timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		o)  will be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections und and was not earlier prese	er appeal and/or appellant fail nted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claim	s after entry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the appl	ication in condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:					
	/Ori Nadav/ Primary Examine	er, Art Unit 2811			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations of "a gate electrode formed on said semiconductor layer and between said source electrode and said drain electrode", as recited in claims 1 and 4, warrent further consideration and/or search.